

		ANTI				
Type	Name (If Known)	Reason 1 Remove table	Reason 2 Replace with multiple speed humps/tables	Reason 3 Potential Increased Speeds	Reason 4 More difficult to cross	Reason 5 Cost
Councillor		We have seen your proposal to extend the speed table on Pound Lane, Marlow, adjacent to Higginson Park to gain level access to the new development alongside. As the existing table causes vehicles to decelerate and accelerate this results in increased emissions adjacent to the Higginson Park play area which is also close to the AQMA, we would prefer the removal of that speed table				
Resident				Extension will result in vehicles accelerating to a faster speed due to increased length	More difficult to cross the road to Higginson Park, especially for families	An unnecessary piece of work costing Bucks taxpayers money.
Resident			Are the developers not able to drop the curb to the road – in so doing giving pedestrians an indication there is a drive which would be particularly useful for blind people - A number of short speed bumps rather than one long one would be a better solution and would slow traffic much more effectively if a speed table is the only way forward. - there seems no logic to not having a drop curb for this development as indeed all the other properties have in Pound Lane	There is a view that with a 22 meter extension accelerating off the table softens the impact therefore there is the possibility of increased speed along Pound Lane – already a dangerous area in view of the number of pedestrians, the driveways, the exit from Court Gardens and the number of children in the area because of the playground.		
Resident			Dropped kerbs are a much more normal approach and will enable pedestrians with poor eyesight (or blind) to know where to expect cars to be exiting. - if the solution has to be a cushion then I would break it up into two cushions of 10m or so.	I fear that a 31m cushion is more like a runway. Drivers know that the impact on exit from a cushion is lessened if accelerating and I imagine boy racers doing just this		
Councillor	Alex Collingwood		The preferred solution of local residents and the Marlow Society is for the existing speed table to be removed. Two new speed tables of conventional length should be constructed on either side of the new houses in suitable locations. Dropped kerbs should be provided for each of the new houses. In view of the speeding problems and the increasing traffic density in the stretch of Pound Lane up to the Court Garden roundabout, we recommend that the new tables should be at the maximum height permitted by law. If two speed tables are not physically possible due to other constraints in the road layout on that stretch, we recommend that you consider replacing the speed table with two speed bumps or humps at the maximum permitted height. This will have the additional benefit of slowing vehicle speeds still further.			
Marlow Society	Geoff Wood		The preferred solution of local residents and the Marlow Society is for the existing speed table to be removed. Two new speed tables of conventional length should be constructed on either side of the new houses in suitable locations. Dropped kerbs should be provided for each of the new houses. In view of the speeding problems and the increasing traffic density in the stretch of Pound Lane up to the Court Garden roundabout, we recommend that the new tables should be at the maximum height permitted by law. If two speed tables are not physically possible due to other constraints in the road layout on that stretch, we recommend that you consider replacing the speed table with two speed bumps or humps at the maximum permitted height. This will have the additional benefit of slowing vehicle speeds still further.			
Councillor	Richard Scott	Whilst I understand that the proposed extension of the speed table was a condition of the planning approval, I would prefer the speed table to be removed completely rather than being extended.				
Marlow Town Council		As the existing table causes vehicles to decelerate and accelerate this results in increased emissions adjacent to the Higginson Park play area which is also close to the AQMA, we would prefer the removal of that speed table entirely. We would also like to point out that there is only a short distance between the mini roundabouts on that stretch, which negates the need for a speed table.				
TVP	Neil Biggs					

TVP	Neil Biggs					
-----	------------	--	--	--	--	--

BCC Highways DM Response	Ian Sharp	This is not a proposal in this consultation. This would require an additional consultation for which I would suggest would also receive objections as the table was (one assumes) installed to deal with a perceived speeding issue.	This is not a proposal in this consultation. This would require an additional consultation. This proposal would also receive complaints over emissions, as has the proposal that we are consulting on.	Speed tables have a minimum length (6m) but not a maximum length (although lengths greater than 20m are not generally recommended). The decrease in speed (6-10mph) and reduction in accidents (30-60%) is found to be dependant on the height and gradient of the approach ramps.	I disagree, there is only an alteration to the tactile crossing point to make it safer for the partially sighted to cross the carriageway away from vehicular access points. Those without these restrictions still have level access across the carriageway	Fully funded by a developer
-----------------------------	-----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------

No Objection

I refer to the drawing 4150768-1100 P3 which shows the site layout under the S278 Agreement as aforementioned scaled at 1:100 @ A1. There have been no collisions in this area for the five year period to 30/9/2018. The reasoning behind this extension is to provide at grade entry points by way new accesses from the new development. There are no objections from Thames Valley Police in respect of this proposal.

Thanks for your e-mail regarding Pound Lane in Marlow, I find it very frustrating to see that what is a very straightforward solution to this problem being objected to when clearly as traffic clinicians we have provided what I consider to be a most acceptable and safe solution which I have commented upon and can see no problem with.

Whilst I appreciate everyone will have a point of view our experience in these matters is countywide and not just in one location and we are very aware of what works and what doesn't and by bringing designs such as these for consultation we have considered the merits of the proposal against others.

I would therefore suggest that you go ahead with the proposal which I commented upon in the first instance which is the extension of the table.

